

K3 SURFACES WITH NON-SYMPLECTIC INVOLUTION AND COMPACT IRREDUCIBLE G_2 -MANIFOLDS

ALEXEI KOVALEV AND NAM-HOON LEE*

1. INTRODUCTION

The G_2 -manifolds may be defined as 7-dimensional real manifolds endowed with a positive 3-form which induces a G_2 -structure, and hence a Riemannian metric, and is parallel with respect to this metric (see §2 for details and further references). The holonomy of the metric on G_2 -manifold reduces to a subgroup of the exceptional Lie group G_2 . Joyce [Jo1, Jo2] constructed the first examples of compact irreducible G_2 -manifolds (i.e. with holonomy exactly G_2) by resolving singularities of the quotient of flat 7-torus by appropriately chosen finite group. Later a different type of construction of irreducible G_2 -manifolds was developed in [Ko], using a *generalized connected sum*. The latter method requires a pair of compact Kähler complex threefolds $\overline{W}_1, \overline{W}_2$ with anticanonical $K3$ divisors satisfying a certain ‘matching condition’. Examples of G_2 -manifolds given in [Ko] were constructed from pairs of smooth Fano threefolds, say V_1, V_2 , by choosing $K3$ surfaces $S_i \in |-K_{V_i}|$ and blowing up each V_i along a smooth curve $C_i \in |-K_{V_i}|_{S_i}|$.

In this paper, we apply the theory of $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution [Ni1, Ni2, Ni3] to give another suitable class of threefolds $\overline{W}$; these are *not* obtainable by blowing up Fano threefolds along curves as above. Using the connected sum method we construct hundreds of new topological types of compact 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 , not homeomorphic to those published in [Jo2] or [Ko]. The bounds on Betti numbers attained by our examples are $0 \leq b^2 \leq 24$, $35 \leq b^3 \leq 239$. Most (but not all) of the G_2 -manifolds constructed by Joyce in [Jo1, Figure 12.3] satisfy $b^2 + b^3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This property does not hold for many of our examples.

It is an interesting problem to find further classes of threefolds $\overline{W}$ suitable for application of the connected sum method [Ko]. This is essentially a problem in algebraic geometry, as can be seen from Proposition 2.2. One such class of threefolds arises as a direct generalization of [Ko, §6] by blowing up an appropriately chosen finite sequence of curves, rather than one curve, in a Fano threefold (Example 2.7). A full classification of appropriate sequences of curves extending the classification of non-singular Fano

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53C25, 14J28.

*supported by 2009 Hongik University Research Fund and National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (2009C104501).

threefolds [Is, MM1, MM2] is a separate issue to be dealt with elsewhere. More generally, a promising strategy is to start with threefolds of negative Kodaira dimension with vanishing cohomology of their structure sheaves ($H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}) = 0$ for $0 < i \leq 3$) and consider their birational transformations which have smooth anticanonical divisors. We also note that Corti, Haskins and Pacini [CHP] found suitable examples of $\overline{W}$ using weakly Fano threefolds.

This paper is organized as follows. We begin in §2 by giving a brief introduction to the Riemannian holonomy G_2 and a review of the conditions on a pair of complex threefolds $\overline{W}_1, \overline{W}_2$ and their anticanonical $K3$ divisors D_1, D_2 required for the connected sum construction. The section also includes new remarks and observations. In §3 we recall some results about $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution. These are applied in §4 where a class of threefolds $\overline{W}$ is constructed. We then apply in §5 and §6 the method of [Ko] and show that, under rather general conditions, the latter threefolds and the blow-ups of Fano threefolds form pairs suitable for application of the connected sum construction and discuss the resulting examples of compact manifolds with holonomy G_2 .

2. THE CONNECTED SUM CONSTRUCTION OF COMPACT IRREDUCIBLE G_2 -MANIFOLDS

For a detailed introduction to G_2 -structures see [Jo2]. A G_2 -structure on a 7-manifold M may be given by a real differential 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ such that φ at every point of M is isomorphic to

$$\varphi_0 = d\mathbf{x}_{123} + d\mathbf{x}_{145} + d\mathbf{x}_{167} + d\mathbf{x}_{246} - d\mathbf{x}_{257} - d\mathbf{x}_{347} - d\mathbf{x}_{356}$$

on Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^7$ with standard coordinates x_k , where $d\mathbf{x}_{ijk} = dx_i \wedge dx_j \wedge dx_k$. The stabilizer of φ_0 in the action of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is the exceptional Lie group G_2 and φ is sometimes called a G_2 -form on M . As $G_2 \subset SO(7)$ every G_2 -structure on M determines an orientation, Riemannian metric $g(\varphi)$ and Hodge star $*_\varphi$.

If a G_2 -form φ satisfies

$$d\varphi = 0 \text{ and } d*_\varphi \varphi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

then the holonomy of the metric $g(\varphi)$ is contained in G_2 [Sa, Lemma 11.5] and (M, φ) is called a G_2 -manifold. If M is compact and its fundamental group is finite, then the holonomy representation of $g(\varphi)$ is *irreducible* and the holonomy group of $g(\varphi)$ is exactly G_2 [Jo1, Propn. 10.2.2].

In this section, we give a short summary of the connected sum method in [Ko] of constructing solutions to (2.1) and further discuss the class of complex threefolds required for this method, as well as some topology of the resulting G_2 -manifolds.

A key ingredient in the connected sum construction of irreducible G_2 -manifolds is the following result producing asymptotically cylindrical *Calabi–Yau* threefolds.

Theorem 2.1 ([Ko, §§2,3]). *Let $\overline{W}$ be a compact Kähler threefold with Kähler form $\omega_{\overline{W}}$ and suppose that $D \in |-K_{\overline{W}}|$ is a K3 surface in the anticanonical class, such that the normal bundle $N_{D/\overline{W}}$ is holomorphically trivial. Suppose further that $\overline{W}$ is simply-connected and the fundamental group of $W = \overline{W} - D$ is finite.*

Then W admits a complete Ricci-flat Kähler metric with holonomy $SU(3)$ and a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic $(3,0)$ -form. These are exponentially asymptotic to the product cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler structure on $D_1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with Kähler form $\kappa_I + dt \wedge d\theta$ and non-vanishing holomorphic $(3,0)$ -form $(\kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K) \wedge (dt + \sqrt{-1}d\theta)$. Here $z = \exp(-t - \sqrt{-1}\theta)$ extends over D to give a holomorphic local coordinate on $\overline{W}$ vanishing to order one precisely on D and κ_I is the Ricci-flat Kähler metric on D in the class $[\omega_{\overline{W}}|_D]$ and $\kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic $(2,0)$ -form on D .

The next result will be needed in §4 and can be of independent interest.

Proposition 2.2. *Every threefold $\overline{W}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 admits no non-zero holomorphic forms, $h^{1,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = h^{3,0}(\overline{W}) = 0$. In particular, $\overline{W}$ is necessarily projective-algebraic.*

Proof. The vanishing of $h^{1,0}$ is clear as $\overline{W}$ is simply-connected and Kähler. Since the anticanonical bundle of $\overline{W}$ admits holomorphic sections (other than the zero section) but $K_{\overline{W}}$ is not holomorphically trivial, we must have $h^{3,0} = 0$. Using the vanishing of $h^{1,0}$ and $h^{3,0}$ and taking the cohomology of the structure sheaf sequence for $D \subset \overline{W}$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(K_{\overline{W}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_D) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(K_{\overline{W}})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where we also noted that $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(K_{\overline{W}})) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}) = 0$ by Serre duality. Similarly, $\dim H^3(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}(K_{\overline{W}})) = 1$, so we must have $H^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{W}}) = 0$. The last claim follows by Kodaira's embedding theorem. $\square$

In what follows, we always assume, as we may, a Ricci-flat Kähler metric and a holomorphic $(3,0)$ form on $\overline{W}$ given by Theorem 2.1 are rescaled so that the corresponding triple of 2-forms on a K3 surface D satisfies $\kappa_I^2 = \kappa_J^2 = \kappa_K^2$.

Let (W_1, D_1) and (W_2, D_2) be two pairs satisfying the assertion of Theorem 2.1. The following definition is crucial for the connected sum construction of compact irreducible G_2 -manifolds. It relates to some standard results about K3 surfaces; an excellent reference is [BHPV, Ch.VIII]. Our notation corresponds to the property that a Ricci-flat Kähler structure on a K3 surface D is equivalent to a hyper-Kähler structure: there is a triple of complex structures $I, J, K = IJ = -JI$ such that for each of these the

respective $\kappa_I, \kappa_J, \kappa_K$ is a Kähler form of a Ricci-flat Kähler metric and the two remaining forms span $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}(D)$.

Definition 2.3. We say that a pair of Ricci-flat Kähler $K3$ surfaces $(D_1, \kappa'_I, \kappa'_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa'_K), (D_2, \kappa''_I, \kappa''_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa''_K)$ satisfies the *matching condition* if there is an isometry of cohomology lattices $h : H^2(D_2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(D_1, \mathbb{Z})$, so that the $\mathbb{R}$ -linear extension of h satisfies

$$h : [\kappa''_I] \mapsto [\kappa'_I], \quad [\kappa''_J] \mapsto [\kappa'_J], \quad [\kappa''_K] \mapsto [-\kappa'_K]. \quad (2.2)$$

A map h satisfying the above condition is in fact a pull-back $h = f^*$, for a well-defined isometry of Riemannian 4-manifolds

$$f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$$

satisfying $f : \kappa''_I \mapsto \kappa'_I, \kappa''_J \mapsto \kappa'_J, \kappa''_K \mapsto -\kappa'_K$ [Ko, Proposition 4.20]. (The map f is sometimes called a ‘hyper-Kähler rotation’; f is *not* in general a holomorphic map with respect to complex structures on D_j induced by the embeddings $D_j \rightarrow \overline{W}_j, j = 1, 2$.)

Each of the two 7-manifolds $W_j \times S^1$ has an asymptotically cylindrical G_2 -structure $\varphi_j = \omega_j \wedge d\theta_j + \text{im } \Omega_j$ satisfying (2.1) induced by the asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler structure ω_j, Ω_j on W_i . Here θ_j denotes the standard coordinate on the S^1 factor. A generalized connected sum of $W_1 \times S^1$ and $W_2 \times S^1$ is a compact 7-manifold

$$M = (W_1 \times S^1) \cup_F (W_2 \times S^1), \quad (2.3)$$

defined by truncating the ends of $S^1 \times W_j$ at $t = T + 1$ to obtain compact manifolds with boundaries and identifying collar neighbourhoods $D_j \times S^1 \times [T, T + 1]$ of the boundaries. This latter identification uses a map F of the form

$$F : D_1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T + 1] \rightarrow D_2 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T + 1], \quad (2.4)$$

$$(y, \theta_1, \theta_2, T + t) \mapsto (f(y), \theta_2, \theta_1, T + 1 - t).$$

with f as defined above. The map F identifies the S^1 factor in each $S^1 \times W_j$ with a circle around the divisor D_{3-j} in $\overline{W}_{3-j}$, for $j = 1, 2$, resulting in a finite fundamental group of M (more precisely, see (2.8a) below).

Furthermore, it is possible to smoothly cut off the G_2 -forms φ_j to their asymptotic models on $D_1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T + 1] \subset S^1 \times W_j$ obtaining closed G_2 -forms $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j|_{D_j \times S^1 \times S^1 \times [T, T + 1]} = \kappa_I^j \wedge d\theta_j + \kappa_J^j \wedge d\theta_{3-j} + \kappa_K^j \wedge dt + d\theta_{3-j} \wedge d\theta_j \wedge dt.$$

It follows from (2.4) that $F^*\varphi_2 = \varphi_1$ on the collar neighbourhood and so $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ together give a well-defined 1-parameter family of closed G_2 -forms $\varphi(T)$ on M . The corresponding metric $g(\varphi(T))$ on M then has diameter asymptotic to $2T$ when $T \rightarrow \infty$. The form $\varphi(T)$ is not co-closed but $\|d^*\varphi(T)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. For every large T , the form $\varphi(T)$ can be perturbed into a solution of (2.1) on M .

Theorem 2.4 ([Ko, §5]). *Suppose that each of $\overline{W}_1, D_1$ and $\overline{W}_2, D_2$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and the K3 surfaces $D_j \in |-K_{\overline{W}_j}|$ satisfy the matching condition. Then the compact 7-manifold M defined in 2.3 has finite fundamental group and admits a G_2 -structure φ satisfying (2.1), and hence a metric $g(\varphi)$ with holonomy equal to G_2 .*

We can identify some topological invariants of the G_2 -manifold M . The key to understanding the topology of M is a non-trivial identification between K3 surfaces D_1, D_2 and their second cohomology, given by Definition 2.3. The following set-up will be used several times in the paper. For each $j = 1, 2$, an embedding $D_j \rightarrow \overline{W}_j$ induces a homomorphism

$$\iota_j : H^2(W_j, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.5)$$

Let

$$X = \iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R})) \cap f^* \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R})),$$

and denote

$$d_j = d(\overline{W}_j) = \dim \ker \iota_j, \quad n = \dim X. \quad (2.6)$$

The restriction of the intersection form on $H^2(D_1, \mathbb{R})$ to $\iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R}))$ is non-degenerate with positive index 1 because W_1 is Kähler, $h^{2,0}(W_1) = 0$ and $H^{1,1}(D_1) \cap H^2(D_1, \mathbb{R})$ is non-degenerate has positive index 1. Similarly, considering a subspace $\iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R}))$ in $H^2(D_2, \mathbb{R})$ and noting that $f^* = h : H^2(D_2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D_1, \mathbb{R})$ preserves the intersection form, we find that the induced form on $f^* \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R}))$ is non-degenerate with positive index 1.

A class in $\iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R}))$ with positive square is obtained by restricting a Kähler form on W_2 ; in the notation of Theorem 2.1 and Definition 2.3 this class may be taken to be κ_I'' . By (2.2), $f^*(\kappa_I'') = \kappa_J$ is orthogonal to $H^{1,1}(D_1)$, thus orthogonal to X . Similarly, $\kappa_I' \in \iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R}))$ is orthogonal to X . Therefore, X is negative-definite and there are uniquely defined subspaces X_j so that

$$\iota_1(H^2(W_1, \mathbb{R})) = X \oplus X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad f^* \iota_2(H^2(W_2, \mathbb{R})) = X \oplus X_2 \quad (2.7)$$

are orthogonal direct sum decompositions, with respect to the intersection form on D_1 .

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\overline{W}_j, D_j$ and M be as in Theorem 2.4 with d_j, n, X_j as defined in (2.6) and (2.7). Then*

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(W_1) \times \pi_1(W_2), \quad (2.8a)$$

$$b^2(M) = n + d_1 + d_2. \quad (2.8b)$$

Suppose further that $b^2(W_1) - d_1 + b^2(W_2) - d_2 \leq 22$ and that X_1 is orthogonal to X_2 with respect to the intersection form on $H^2(D_1, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$b^3(M) = b^3(\overline{W}_1) + b^3(\overline{W}_2) + b^2(M) - 2n + 23. \quad (2.8c)$$

Proof. This is a direct generalization of [Ko, Theorems 4.28 and 8.57] and is proved by the same arguments based on application of van Kampen theorem and Mayer–Vietoris exact sequences. The only difference is that the computation of Betti numbers in *op.cit.* are carried out for a class of threefolds $\overline{W}_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) satisfying $d(\overline{W}_j) = 0$, but in general $d(\overline{W}_j)$ need not vanish.

As the normal bundle of D_j is trivial, D_j has a tubular neighbourhood U_j in W_j so that $U_j \subset W_j$ is homotopy equivalent to $D \times S^1$. The Mayer–Vietoris for

$$\overline{W}_j = W_j \cup U_j \quad (2.9)$$

combined with the Künneth formula for $D \times S^1$ and the known topological invariants of a K3 surface D_j yields

$$b^2(\overline{W}_j) = b^2(W_j) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b^3(W_j) = b^3(\overline{W}_j) + 22 - b^2(W_j) + d_j. \quad (2.10)$$

Here we also used the exact sequence for the absolute $H^*(W_j)$ and relative de Rham cohomology $H_c^*(W_j)$ to obtain $b^4(W_j) = b_c^2(W_j) = 1 + d_j$.

The computation of $b^2(M)$ is straightforward from the Mayer–Vietoris for (2.3). In order to find $b^3(M)$ we shall determine the dimension of the kernel of

$$\tau_j + \iota_{3-j} : H^3(W_j, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^2(W_{3-j}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\iota_j : H^2(W_j, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the pull-back via the inclusion $D_j \subset W_j$ and $\tau : H^3(W_j, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$ is a composition of a similar pull-back and the natural isomorphism $H^3(D_j \times S^1, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$. From the Mayer–Vietoris for (2.9) we find $\dim \ker \tau_j = b^3(\overline{W}_j)$.

Lemma 2.6. *For each $j = 1, 2$, there is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}) = \iota_j(H^2(W_j, \mathbb{R})) \oplus \tau_j(H^3(W_j, \mathbb{R}))$$

with respect to the intersection form on $H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$.

Assume Lemma 2.6 for the moment and then X_j , for each j , must be a subspace of the image of τ_{3-j} , as X_{3-j} is orthogonal to $X \oplus X_j$ by the hypothesis. It follows that $\text{rk}(\tau_j + \iota_{3-j}) = n + \text{rk } \tau_j = n + b^3(W_j) - b^3(\overline{W}_j)$ using once again the Mayer–Vietoris for (2.9). Now (2.8c) follows by rank-nullity for $\tau_j + \iota_{3-j}$, the Künneth formula for $W_j \times S^1$ and the exactness of the Mayer–Vietoris for (2.3).

To prove Lemma 2.6 we use the exactness of the sequence for $H_c^*(W_j, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^*(W_j, \mathbb{R})$ in the term $H^3(D_j \times S^1, \mathbb{R})$ and the Poincaré duality. The class $[\omega] \in H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R})$ is in the image of τ_j if and only if $[\tilde{\omega} \wedge \omega \wedge d\theta_j \wedge d\rho(t)] = 0$ in $H_c^6(W_j) \cong \mathbb{R}$, for every class $[\tilde{\omega}] \in H^2(W_j, \mathbb{R})$. Here $\rho(t)$ denotes a cut-off function of the cylindrical end coordinate t on W_j (as defined in Theorem 2.1) and, as before, θ_j is a standard coordinate on S^1 .

The class $[\tilde{\omega} \wedge \omega \wedge d\theta_j \wedge d\rho(t)]$ is trivial in $H_c^6(W_j)$ if and only if $[\tilde{\omega} \wedge \omega] = 0$ in $H^4(D_j, \mathbb{R})$ (here we used $H^5(W, \mathbb{R}) = 0$). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.5. $\square$

Suitable examples of $\overline{W}$ are found in [Ko, §6] by starting with a Fano threefold V with S a smooth anticanonical divisor on V (then S must be a K3 surface). Suppose that $C \in |-K_V|_S|$ is a connected smooth curve. Blowing up V along C , defines a threefold $\overline{W}$ with $D \subset \overline{W}$ the proper transform of S , so that $\overline{W}$ and D satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Then $d(\overline{W}) = 0$ holds by Lefschetz hyperplane theorem.

One instance of non-vanishing $d(\overline{W})$ arises via the following generalization of [Ko, §6]. Rather than blowing up along one curve C , we now blow up $\overline{W}$ along a *finite sequence* of curves. Suppose that there is a divisor

$$c = c_1 + \dots + c_m \in |-K_V|_S|$$

, where all the curves c_i 's are distinct, connected and smooth. First blow up c_1 and in the resulting threefold consider the proper transforms of the other curves, still denoted by $c_2, \dots, c_m$. Then blow up c_2 , taking the further proper transform of $c_3, \dots, c_m$ and proceed recursively in this way until c_m . Denote by $\overline{W}$ the result of this sequence of m blow-ups and let $D \subset \overline{W}$ be, respectively, the proper transform of S . Then it is not difficult to check that $\overline{W}$ and D satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. Furthermore,

$$d(\overline{W}) = m - \text{rk}\langle c_1, \dots, c_m \rangle,$$

where we consider $\langle c_1, \dots, c_m \rangle$ as a sublattice of $\text{Pic}(S)$. Thus $d(\overline{W}) > 0$ whenever $c_1, \dots, c_m$ are $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly dependent in $\text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Example 2.7. Let $V = \mathbb{C}P^3$. Then S can be taken to be any quartic K3 surface. Let $c = h_1 + \dots + h_4$, where h_i 's are distinct smooth hyperplane sections of S . We can construct a threefold $\overline{W}$ as above; in this example $m = 4$ and $d(\overline{W}) = 3$. We shall return to this example in §6.2.

3. NON-SYMPLECTIC INVOLUTIONS ON K3 SURFACES

A holomorphic involution ρ of a K3 surface S is called *non-symplectic* when $\rho^*(\omega) = -\omega$ for each $\omega \in H^{2,0}(S)$. Non-symplectic involutions of K3 surfaces were studied and completely classified by V.V. Nikulin ([Ni1, Ni2, Ni3], see also [AN, §6.3]). We recall, in summary, some results that will be needed in this paper. The material of this section uses some lattice theory; the reader is referred to [BHPV, § I.2] for the background concepts.

Every K3 surface S admitting a non-symplectic involution ρ is necessarily algebraic. Denote by L^ρ the set of all classes in $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ fixed by ρ . It is clear that L^ρ is a sublattice of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and furthermore, since $h^{2,0}(S) = 1$, it follows that L^ρ is a sublattice of the Picard lattice of S .

It will sometimes be convenient to identify $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ with $L = 2(-E_8) \oplus 3H$, where E_8 is the unique (up to isomorphism) even, unimodular and positive definite lattice of rank 8 and $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the hyperbolic plane lattice. We shall refer to L as the *K3 lattice*. We shall interchangeably think of ρ^* as an involution of L and L^ρ as a sublattice of L . The L^ρ is called the *invariant lattice* and is a primitive non-degenerate sublattice of L with signature $(1, t_-)$. Let $r = 1 + t_-$ denote its rank.

Recall that for any non-degenerate lattice, N say, the dual lattice $N^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ naturally contains N as a sublattice, via the inclusion map $x \in N \rightarrow \langle \cdot, x \rangle \in N^*$. The quotient group N^*/N is called the *discriminant group*.

In the case when $N = L^\rho$ is a sublattice of a unimodular lattice, the discriminant group $L^{\rho*}/L^\rho$ is necessarily 2-elementary, that is $L^{\rho*}/L^\rho \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^a$. The non-negative integer a is another important invariant of L^ρ .

Conversely, if N is a lattice with signature $(1, t_-)$, primitively embedded in the K3 lattice L and such that N^*/N is 2-elementary, then there is an involution ρ_N on L with the invariant lattice precisely N (so ρ_N acts as -1 on $N^\perp$). The orthogonal complement $N^\perp$ of N in L cannot contain elements x with $x^2 = -2$ and the $\mathbb{R}$ -linear extension of $N^\perp$ in $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ contains a positive 2-plane. Therefore, by the global Torelli theorem and the surjectivity of the period map for K3 surfaces the involution ρ_N is induced by a well-defined non-symplectic involution of a (non-singular) K3 surface S via a suitable identification $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$.

Finally, define

$$\delta(L^\rho) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for each } t \in L^{\rho*}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The triple (r, a, δ) is the complete list of invariants which determine the involution ρ^* and L^ρ uniquely up to automorphisms of L . We shall denote by $L(r, a, \delta)$ the isomorphism class of an invariant lattice determined by (r, a, δ) .

All the triples (r, a, δ) that occur for some L^ρ were classified in [Ni1]. There are 75 possibilities; the range of values is $1 \leq r \leq 20$ and $0 \leq a \leq 11$. We note for later use that all the possible triples satisfy

$$r - a \geq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

For any lattice N , it will be convenient to consider, following [Do2], the concept of *ample N -polarized K3 surface* defined by the conditions that its Picard lattice contains a sublattice isomorphic to N and this sublattice contains an ample class. (This may be thought of as a refinement of the class of algebraic K3 surfaces of degree $2n - 2$ ($n \geq 2$) in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. The latter class then corresponds to the special case when N is generated by one element of positive square $2n - 2$.) Now suppose S is a K3 surface with a non-symplectic involution ρ and the invariant lattice isomorphic to $L(r, a, \delta)$. If h is an integral ample class on S , then $h + \rho^*(h)$ is also ample and is invariant under ρ^* . Thus $h + \rho^*(h)$ is in $L(r, a, \delta)$ and S is ample $L(r, a, \delta)$ -polarized.

Denote by $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(r, a, \delta) := \mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}_{L(r, a, \delta)}$ the moduli space of $L(r, a, \delta)$ lattice polarized K3 surfaces and by $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(r, a, \delta)$ a subspace of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(r, a, \delta)$, whose elements are K3 surfaces with non-symplectic involution with the invariant lattice isomorphic to $L(r, a, \delta)$.

Proposition 3.1 ([AN], §6.3).

(a) $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(r, a, \delta)$ is connected and Zariski open in $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(r, a, \delta)$.

(b) For $(S, \rho) \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(r, a, \delta)$, the fixed locus of ρ is

- $S^\rho = \emptyset$ if $(r, a, \delta) = (10, 10, 0)$;
- S^ρ is a disjoint union of two elliptic curves if $(r, a, \delta) = (10, 8, 0)$;
- otherwise

$$S^\rho = c_g + e_1 + \cdots + e_k, \quad \text{all disjoint,}$$

where $g = \frac{22-r-a}{2}$, $k = \frac{r-a}{2}$, c_g is a curve of genus g and $e_i \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Remark. It is also shown in [AN] that δ is zero if and only if the fixed locus S^ρ of ρ on S represents a class divisible by 2 in $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

4. THREEFOLDS W FROM NON-SYMPLECTIC INVOLUTIONS

Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ be any holomorphic involution fixing two distinct points and define $Z = (S \times \mathbb{C}P^1)/(\rho, \psi)$. Then the singular locus of Z is a product of smooth curves and ordinary double points, resulting from the fixed locus of ρ . Let $\overline{W} \rightarrow Z$ be the blow-up along the singular locus of Z . It is elementary to check that $\overline{W}$ is smooth. Choose a point $p \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that $p \neq \psi(p)$. Let D' be the image of $S \times \{p\}$ in Z and D be the inverse image of D' in $\overline{W}$. Then D is isomorphic to S and it is an anticanonical divisor of $\overline{W}$ with the normal bundle $N_{D/\overline{W}}$ holomorphically trivial. One can obtain $\overline{W}$ differently. Let q_1, q_2 be the fixed points of ψ , $S^\rho = c_1 + \cdots + c_l$ and Q_{ij} be $c_i \times \{q_j\} \subset S \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the blow-up of $S \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ along the curves Q_{ij} 's. Then the involution on $S \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ induces an involution on $\widetilde{W}$, whose fixed locus are the exceptional divisors over Q_{ij} . The quotient of $\widetilde{W}$ by the involution is isomorphic to $\overline{W}$. This may be summarized by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{W} & \longrightarrow & \overline{W} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S \times \mathbb{C}P^1 & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

which is a familiar resolution of singularities diagram (for Z).

It follows from Lemma 3.1(a) that for any fixed (r, a, δ) the threefolds $\overline{W}$ constructed from $S \in \mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(r, a, \delta)$ are deformations of each other. The following fact will be used later:

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $\kappa \in L(r, a, \delta) \otimes \mathbb{R} \subset H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ is an ample class. Then κ is a restriction of a Kähler class in $H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $E_1, \dots, E_r$ be the exceptional divisors of the blow-up $g : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow D \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Then $g^*(\kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(1)) - \varepsilon(E_1 + \cdots + E_r)$ is a Kähler class of $\widetilde{W}$ for sufficiently small positive ε . Since this class is invariant under the induced involution on $\widetilde{W}$, there is a Kähler class $\hat{\kappa} \in H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R})$ whose pullback via the quotient map $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ is $g^*(\kappa, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(1)) - \varepsilon(E_1 + \cdots + E_r)$. Clearly the restriction of $\hat{\kappa}$ to D is κ . $\square$

Our next lemma ensures that Theorem 2.1 can be applied to $\overline{W}$ and D defined above.

Lemma 4.2. *$\overline{W}$ and $W := \overline{W} - D$ are simply connected if ρ is not fixed-point-free, i.e. if $(r, a, \delta) \neq (10, 10, 0)$.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ be the universal covering. The threefold $\widehat{W}$ is simply-connected, therefore the quotient map $\widehat{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ lifts to a holomorphic map $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ so there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \widehat{W} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{W} & \longrightarrow & \overline{W}. \end{array}$$

If ρ has a fixed point, then $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ cannot be a map of degree one, so it is a map of degree two. Then $\widehat{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ is of degree one and $\widehat{W}$ is necessarily isomorphic to $\overline{W}$, thus $\overline{W}$ is simply-connected.

There is a natural projection: $(S \times \mathbb{CP}^1)/(\rho, \psi) \rightarrow S/\rho$. Let ν be the composition of $\overline{W} \rightarrow Z$ and $(S \times \mathbb{CP}^1)/(\rho, \psi) \rightarrow S/\rho$. Let $x \in S/\rho$ be a point in the branch locus of the map $S \rightarrow S/\rho$. Then $\nu^{-1}(x)$ is a union of three smooth rational curves, one of which (denoted by l) crosses D transversely at a single point and the other two are disjoint from D , resulting from the blow-up. Since $\overline{W}$ and D are simply connected, $\pi_1(W)$ is generated by a loop around D . We can assume that the loop is contained in $l^* = l - D$. Since the loop can be contracted to a point in l^* , W is simply-connected. $\square$

Since we are only interested in the case that $\overline{W}$ is simply connected, we will assume that $(r, a, \delta) \neq (10, 10, 0)$ in the rest of this paper.

We require Betti numbers of $\overline{W}$ for application of Theorem 2.5 later. In light of Proposition 2.2 it suffices to find $h^{1,1}$ and $h^{1,2}$. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of smooth variety along a smooth subvariety Z . The following formula for the topological Euler numbers is well-known:

$$e(\tilde{X}) = e(X) + e(E) - e(Z), \quad (4.1)$$

where E is the exceptional divisor. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a double covering over smooth variety Y , branched along smooth subvariety B . The following formula is also well-known:

$$e(X) = 2e(Y) - e(B). \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.3. *A threefold $\overline{W}$ constructed from a K3 surface in $\mathcal{K}3'(r, a, \delta)$, $(r, a, \delta) \neq (10, 10, 0)$, as defined above, satisfies:*

- (a) $h^{1,1}(\overline{W}) = b^2(\overline{W}) = 3 + 2r - a$ and $h^{1,2}(\overline{W}) = \frac{1}{2} b^3(\overline{W}) = 22 - r - a$.
- (b) $\text{rk } \iota = r$, where $\iota : H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ is the restriction map (cf. (2.5)).

Proof. (a) The Hodge number $h^{1,1}(\overline{W})$ can be obtained by counting the number of independent classes in $H^{1,1}(\widetilde{W})$ that are invariant under the involution. Let $S^\rho = c_1 + \cdots + c_l$. We have, by standard results about blow-ups (e.g. [GH, p.605]),

$$H^2(\widetilde{W}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(S \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2l} = H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2l},$$

using also the Künneth formula. The last two terms correspond to $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ and the exceptional divisors; all of these classes are fixed by the involution. By the hypothesis, exactly r independent classes in $H^{1,1}(S)$ are invariant under the involution, so we obtain

$$h^{1,1}(\overline{W}) = r + 1 + 2l.$$

By Equation (4.1)), the topological Euler number of $\widetilde{W}$ is

$$e(\widetilde{W}) = 24 \cdot 2 + 2 \sum_i e(c_i)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$2e(\overline{W}) - \sum_{i,j} e(Q_{ij}) = e(\widetilde{W})$$

by Equation (4.2). So we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(\overline{W}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i,j} e(Q_{ij}) + e(\widetilde{W}) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_i e(c_i) + 24 + \sum_i e(c_i) \\ &= 24 + 3 \sum_i e(c_i). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$h^{1,2}(\overline{W}) = 1 + h^{1,1}(\overline{W}) - \frac{1}{2}e(\overline{W}) = -10 + r + 2l - \frac{3}{2} \sum_i e(c_i).$$

- (b) Since $h^{2,0}(\overline{W}) = 0$, we have $\text{rk}(H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} \varrho$, where we denoted by $\varrho : H^{1,1}(\overline{W}) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(D)$ the restriction map. As seen in the proof of (a), $H^{1,1}(\overline{W}) = A \oplus \mathbb{C}^{1+2l}$, where $A \cong L(r, a, \delta) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to the classes invariant under the involution. It is easy to see that $\dim \varrho(A) = r$ and $\varrho(\mathbb{C}^{1+2l}) = 0$. Thus $\dim \text{im } \varrho = r$ as required. $\square$

As a corollary we also compute the quantity defined in Theorem 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} d(\overline{W}) &= \dim \ker(H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})) - 1 \\ &= \dim \ker(H^2(W, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})) = 2 + r - a \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In particular, the kernel of $H^2(\overline{W}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{Z})$ contains the classes corresponding to exceptional divisors of the blow-up $\overline{W} \rightarrow Z$.

We find, taking account of (3.1), that the threefolds $\overline{W}$ constructed in this section have $d(\overline{W}) \geq 2$. In light of the remark at the end of §2, these threefolds are *not* homeomorphic to any threefold obtainable by blowing up a curve in a Fano threefold as in [Ko, §6]. They also cannot be homeomorphic to the threefold in the Example 2.7 as the latter has $d = 3$ but according to the classification of $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution $2 + r - a$ is always even.

5. COMPACT IRREDUCIBLE G_2 -MANIFOLDS

For each $K3$ surface S with non-symplectic involution having fixed points, we constructed in the previous section a threefold $\overline{W}$ with $D \in |-K_{\overline{W}}|$ bi-holomorphic to S and such that the normal bundle of D is holomorphically trivial. For a fixed isomorphism class of invariant lattice $L(r, a, \delta)$, the resulting pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ are deformations of each other. In particular, it follows from the construction and Proposition 3.1(a) that the isomorphism classes of $K3$ surfaces D arising in these pairs form a connected dense open subset $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(L(r, a, \delta)) \subset \mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(L(r, a, \delta))$ of the space of ample $L(r, a, \delta)$ -polarized $K3$ surfaces. For brevity, we shall sometimes refer to such $(\overline{W}, D)$ as a pair of *non-symplectic type*.

By Lemma 4.2 both $\overline{W}$ and W are simply-connected and by Proposition 4.1 there exists a Kähler form $\omega_{\overline{W}}$ on $\overline{W}$ such that $[\kappa_I] = [\omega_{\overline{W}}|_D]$ in $H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$. Thus Theorem 2.1 applies to give the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(\overline{W}, D)$ be of non-symplectic type and $(r, a, \delta) \neq (10, 10, 0)$. Suppose that $\kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form on D and κ_I is the Kähler form of some Ricci-flat Kähler metric on D .*

Then $W = \overline{W} - D$ has an asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metric and holomorphic $(3, 0)$ -form modelled on the product structure on $D \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ determined by $\kappa_I, \kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K$ as defined in Theorem 2.1.

Another class of pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 was given in [Ko, §6]. Recall from §2 that we start with a Fano threefold V and consider a $K3$ divisor $S \in |-K_V|$. Blowing-up a connected smooth curve in V representing the self-intersection cycle $S \cdot S$ (multiplication in the Chow ring) yields a threefold $\overline{W}$ and the proper transform D of S , so that the pair $(\overline{W}, D)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. By varying V in its algebraic family and varying S in the anticanonical class we have an ambiguity to choose D in a connected dense open subset $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(L(V)) \subset \mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(L(V))$ of the space of ample $L(V)$ -polarized $K3$ surfaces [Ko, Theorem 6.45]. Here the sublattice

$$L(V) = \iota_V^*(H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(D_2, \mathbb{Z}))$$

is induced by the embedding $\iota_V : D_2 \rightarrow V$. (The quadratic form of $L(V)$ is induced from that of $H^2(D_2, \mathbb{Z})$.) The resulting pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ are deformations of each other. We shall refer to such $(\overline{W}, D)$ as being of *Fano type* (though $\overline{W}$ is never a Fano threefold).

The resulting class of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau threefolds may be described as follows.

Proposition 5.2 (cf. [Ko, pp. 146–147]). *Let $(\overline{W}, D)$ be of Fano type. Suppose that $\kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form on D and κ_I is the Kähler form of some Ricci-flat Kähler metric on D .*

Then $W = \overline{W} - D$ has an asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metric and holomorphic $(3, 0)$ -form modelled on the product structure on $D \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ determined by $\kappa_I, \kappa_J + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_K$ as defined in Theorem 2.1.

Remark. For notational convenience, we restrict the term ‘Fano type’ to threefolds obtainable by blow-up of *one* connected curve. Thus the threefold in Example 2.7 is not of Fano type (though it is a very natural generalization); we discuss this threefold separately.

In the above paragraphs we slightly abused the notation by writing $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(L_j)$ for both the non-symplectic and Fano types. However, as we shall only be using the property that $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}'(L_j)$ is some connected dense open subset of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Z}(L_j)$ this should not cause confusion.

If by deforming two pairs $(\overline{W}_1, D_1), (\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ satisfying Theorem 2.1 and rescaling, if necessary, the respective Kähler metrics and holomorphic forms we achieve the matching condition for D_1 and D_2 (Definition 2.3), then by Theorem 2.4, the connected sum construction described in §2 yields a compact Riemannian 7-manifold M with holonomy G_2 . We shall sometimes abbreviate this by saying that a compact (irreducible) G_2 -manifold M is *constructed from* $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ and $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$ (or simply from $\overline{W}_1$ and $\overline{W}_2$, the existence of appropriate D_1 and D_2 implied).

Here is the main theorem that will be applied to construct new examples of compact 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 in this paper. It is essentially a variant of the result proved in [Ko, §6,7].

Theorem 5.3. *For $j = 1, 2$, let $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ be of Fano type or of non-symplectic type as defined above, with L_j the respective sublattice of the K3 lattice. In the non-symplectic case we also assume $L_j \neq L(10, 10, 0)$. Suppose further that at least one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (a) *the lattice $L_1 \oplus L_2$ can be primitively embedded in $2(-E_8) \oplus 2H$, or*
- (b) *$\max\{\text{rk } L_1, \text{rk } L_2\} \leq 10$.*

Then a compact irreducible G_2 -manifold can be constructed from $(\overline{W}_1, D_1)$ and $(\overline{W}_2, D_2)$, in the sense defined above.

Proof. We claim that the argument in [Ko, pp.147–152] adapts to the present situation to give the proof of Theorem 5.3(b). More explicitly, [Ko, Theorem 6.44] asserts part (b) of the statement of Theorem 5.3 in the case when

both W_1 and W_2 are of Fano type. Indeed, every Fano threefold V satisfies $b^2(V) \leq 10$ and it is shown in [Ko, p.154] that the corresponding quasiprojective threefold $W = \overline{W} - D$ of Fano type has $b^2(W) = b^2(V)$. Therefore, $\text{rk } L_j \leq 10$. The argument of [Ko, Theorem 6.44] is carried out in such a way that it only uses only this latter upper bound on the rank and signature of the sublattice $L_j \subset L$ and the result that, for (W_j, D_j) of Fano type, $\mathcal{KZ}'(L_j)$ is connected dense open subset of $\mathcal{KZ}(L_j)$. As we already noted above, the latter property also holds when (W_j, D_j) is of non-symplectic type.

Note also that for the same reason the argument in [Ko] remains valid for the Fano threefold discovered in [MM2] and not appearing in the previously known classification in [IP].

We include a summary of the argument for part (b) based on [Ko], for the readers convenience and because, as we explain below, a simplified version of this argument also proves part (a).

By the hypothesis Theorem 2.1 holds for each (W_j, D_j) , therefore, as we noted above, it suffices to show that the matching condition for the triples of cohomology classes $\kappa_I^{(j)}, \kappa_J^{(j)}, \kappa_K^{(j)}$ can be achieved (here we used (j) to denote ' or "') and hence Theorem 2.4 applies.

We shall need an embedding result of Nikulin [Ni1], simplified by Dolgachev ([Do1, Theorems (1.4.6) and (1.4.8)]), which can be stated as follows.

Theorem 5.4. *A primitive embedding of an even non-degenerate lattice N of signature (t_+, t_-) into an even unimodular lattice E of signature (l_+, l_-) exists provided $t_+ \leq l_+$, $t_- \leq l_-$ and $l(N) + \text{rk } N < \text{rk } E$, where $l(N)$ is the minimum number of generators of the discriminant group N^*/N .*

If $l(N) + \text{rk } N < \text{rk } E - 2$, then a primitive embedding $N \rightarrow E$ is unique, up to an isometry of E .

Remark. As $l(N) \leq \text{rk } N$ the left-hand sides of the inequalities in Theorem 5.4 can be weakened to $2 \text{rk } N$.

For each of the $K3$ surfaces D_j we have a choice a lattice isometry (often called a marking) $p_j : H^2(D_j, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L = 2(-E_8) \oplus 3H$. Clearly, the ambiguity of choosing p_j is the group of lattice automorphisms of L . Recall that the $K3$ surfaces D_j are ample L_j -polarized: $L_j \subseteq \iota_j^* H^2(\overline{W}_j, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(D_j, \mathbb{Z})$ consists of $(1, 1)$ -classes and $[\kappa_I^{(j)}] \in L_j$. The restriction of p_j identifies L_j with a sublattice $p_j(L_j)$ of L . In terms of 'abstract' lattices, this defines a primitive embedding $p_j : L_j \rightarrow L$. (Remember that L_j is considered with a bilinear form induced from $H^2(D_j, \mathbb{Z})$.) Conversely, any two primitive embeddings $L_j \rightarrow L$ are related by an automorphism of L by Theorem 5.4 as

$$2 \text{rk } L_j \leq \text{rk } L - 2,$$

Thus every primitive embedding $L_j \rightarrow L$ arises from some marking p_j .

Also by Theorem 5.4, L_j , *a priori* a sublattice of the $K3$ lattice L , in fact admits a primitive embedding into $(-2)E_8 \oplus 2H$.

The subspace $P_j = H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}) \cap (H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2})(D_j)$ is spanned by $\kappa_j^{(j)}$ and $\kappa_K^{(j)}$ and is identified via p_j with a positive-definite real 2-plane in $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This 2-plane is necessarily orthogonal to the sublattice $p_j(L_j)$. Conversely, by the global Torelli theorem and the surjectivity of the period map for $K3$ surfaces, every positive-definite real 2-plane in $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ orthogonal to $p_j(L_j)$ is the image of $H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}) \cap (H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2})(D_j)$, for some ample L_j -polarized $K3$ surface in $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}(L_j)$. As we noted earlier, the $K3$ surfaces arising from deformations of pairs $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ form a dense open subset $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}'(L_j) \subset \mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}(L_j)$. Thus we are free to choose P_j in a dense open subset of the Grassmannian of positive 2-planes orthogonal to $p_j(L_j)$.

The task of satisfying the matching condition now becomes a problem in the arithmetic of the $K3$ lattice. This problem is solved in [Ko]. More explicitly, let us write $L = 2(-E_8) \oplus H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_3$ to distinguish between the copies of H in the direct sum. Recall that there exist primitive embeddings $p_{j,0} : L_j \rightarrow (-2)E_8 \oplus H_j \oplus H_3$, $j = 1, 2$. By applying an isometry of $(-2)E_8 \oplus H_j \oplus H_3$, we may assume that $p_{j,0}(\kappa_I^{(j)}) \in H_j$. Note that consequently,

$$p_1(L_1) \perp p_2(\kappa_I'') \quad \text{and} \quad p_2(L_2) \perp p_1(\kappa_I'). \quad (5.1)$$

In particular, $p_{1,0}(\kappa_I') \in H_i$ is orthogonal to $p_{2,0}(\kappa_I'')$.

Suppose that $\text{rk } L_1 = \text{rk } L_2 = 10$. Then for each j , the orthogonal complement N_j of $p_{j,0}(L_j)$ in $(-2)E_8 \oplus H_j \oplus H_3$ is an indefinite lattice of rank 10. By application of Meyer's theorem [MH, Ch. 2] to the quadratic form of N_j we find that N_j contains a non-zero isotropic primitive element, e_0^j say. Extend e_0 to a basis of N_j , $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_9$; this can be done so that the sublattice generated by $e_1^j, \dots, e_9^j$ is indefinite. Then, by Meyer's theorem again, this latter sublattice contains an isotropic primitive element, which we may take to be e_1^j . It follows, by considering the primitive sublattice of N_j generated by e_0^j, e_1^j , that N_j contains primitive positive vectors whose square can be any integer in $2b_j\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where $b_j = e_0^j \cdot e_1^j$. Let $v_j \in N_j$ be a primitive vector with $v_j \cdot v_j = 2b_1b_2$. By applying an isometry of $2(-E_8) \oplus H_3$ we can map v_j to any given primitive vector in H_3 having the same square. Thus we obtained a positive vector $v = v_1 = v_2$ which is orthogonal to each $p_{j,0}(L_j)$, in particular the sublattice generated by $p_{1,0}(L_1)$ and $p_{2,0}(L_2)$ has signature $(2, t)$, $t \leq 18$.

In the case when L_j do not both have rank 10, say $\text{rk } L_1 \leq 9$, the above property of embeddings $p_{j,0}$ is easier to obtain. By Theorem 5.4, L_1 has a primitive embedding in $(-2)E_8 \oplus H_1$ and applying an isometry of $(-2)E_8 \oplus H_1$ we can take κ_I' to be mapped in H_1 . Similarly, we can assume that the orthogonal complement of L_2 in $(-2)E_8 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_3$ contains a positive vectors in H^3 and choose v to be this positive vector.

It is shown in [Ko] that, furthermore, a positive vector v orthogonal to both $p_{1,0}(L_1)$ and $p_{2,0}(L_2)$ can be chosen so that each positive 2-plane spanned by $p_j(\kappa_I^{(j)})$ and v defines a $K3$ surface in $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}'(L_j)$ arising from

some deformation of $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$. Thus we obtain well-defined extensions of p_j to $\mathbb{R}$ -linear maps $p_j : H^2(D_j, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$p_1(\kappa'_I) = p_2(\kappa''_J), \quad p_1(\kappa'_J) = p_2(\kappa''_I), \quad p_1(\kappa'_K) = -p_2(\kappa''_K) = v$$

(compare (2.2)), which is the required matching condition between K3 divisors D_1 and D_2 on some deformations of $\overline{W}_1$ and $\overline{W}_2$.

We now explain the modification of the above argument for the case when (a) holds. In this situation, the task is simplified as L_1 is orthogonal to L_2 by the hypothesis and so (5.1) is automatically true for any choice of the embedding of $L_1 \oplus L_2 \rightarrow (-2)E_8 + 2H$, by taking p_j to be the restriction to respective L_j . Existence of extensions of p_j to well-defined markings of D_j and the choice of the images of $\kappa_K^{(j)}$ follow as in the above argument for (b). $\square$

Remarks. The condition (b) in Theorem 5.3 is less restrictive than (a). However, the Betti numbers of a G_2 -manifold obtained via (b) are not in general uniquely determined by those of W_1, W_2 , but also depend on the choice of matching (see Theorem 2.5). The first named author's student Dingyu Yang verified a number of examples where different values of $(b^2(M), b^3(M))$ arise for G_2 -manifolds M constructed from the same pair of threefolds $\overline{W}_j$ of Fano type.

When none of the conditions (a),(b) in the above Theorem 5.3 is satisfied, it is sometimes still possible to achieve matching pairs D_1, D_2 . One such example is identified in [KN], where both $(\overline{W}_j, D_j)$ are of non-symplectic type with invariant lattices $L_j = L(10, 8, 0)$.

5.1. Examples. We construct examples of compact G_2 -manifolds and compute their Betti numbers using Theorem 5.3(a). If (a) holds, then the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied with $n = 0$ and the formulae for the Betti numbers of resulting G_2 -manifold become

$$b^2(M) = d_1 + d_2, \tag{5.2a}$$

$$b^3(M) = b^3(\overline{W}_1) + b^3(\overline{W}_2) + d_1 + d_2 + 23. \tag{5.2b}$$

If $\overline{W}_j$ is of Fano type, then $d_j = 0$ by application of Lefschetz hyperplane theorem and

$$b^3(\overline{W}_j) = b^3(V_j) + (-K_{V_j}^3) + 2 \tag{5.3}$$

where V_j is the initial Fano threefold (see [Ko, p. 154]). For $\overline{W}_j$ of non-symplectic type, we computed the quantities d_j and $b^3(\overline{W}_j)$ in Proposition 4.3.

The following is a corollary of the embedding Theorem 5.4.

Proposition 5.5. *A primitive embedding of an even non-degenerate lattice N of signature (t_+, t_-) into $2(-E_8) \oplus 2H$ exists provided $t_+ \leq 18$, $t_- \leq 2$ and $l(N) + \text{rk } N < 20$, where $l(N)$ is the minimum number of generators of the discriminant group N^*/N .*

Noting that

- (a) $l(N) \leq \text{rk } N$,
- (b) $l(N_1 \oplus N_2) = l(N_1) + l(N_2)$ and
- (c) $l(L(r, a, \delta)) = a$,

we obtain a sufficient condition for application of Theorem 5.3(a). Taking account of Lemma 4.2, Proposition 4.3 and formulae (5.2), we obtain our next result.

Theorem 5.6. *The lattice $L_1 \oplus L_2$, defined in Theorem 5.3, can be primitively embedded in $2(-E_8) \oplus 2H$ in the following cases ($r_j = \text{rk } L_j$):*

- (a) $\overline{W}_1$ and $\overline{W}_2$ are of Fano type, respectively V_1 and V_2 , and

$$r_1 + r_2 < 10.$$

Then the resulting simply-connected G_2 -manifold M has

$$b^2(M) = 0 \text{ and } b^3(M) = b^3(V_1) - K_{V_1}^3 + b^3(V_2) - K_{V_2}^3 + 27,$$

where V_1, V_2 are the initial Fano threefolds.

- (b) $\overline{W}_1$ is of Fano type V_1 and $\overline{W}_2$ is of non-symplectic type (r_2, a_2, δ_2) and

$$2r_1 + r_2 + a_2 < 20.$$

Then the resulting simply-connected G_2 -manifold M has

$$b^2(M) = 2 + r_2 - a_2 \text{ and } b^3(M) = b^3(V_1) - K_{V_1}^3 - r_2 - 3a_2 + 71.$$

- (c) $\overline{W}_1, \overline{W}_2$ are of non-symplectic types (r_1, a_1, δ_1) , (r_2, a_2, δ_2) and

$$r_1 + r_2 + a_1 + a_2 < 20.$$

Then the resulting simply-connected G_2 -manifold M has

$$b^2(M) = 4 + r_1 + r_2 - a_1 - a_2 \text{ and } b^3(M) = 115 - r_1 - r_2 - 3a_1 - 3a_2. \quad (5.4)$$

All of the above G_2 -manifolds are simply-connected, hence irreducible.

Remark. The case (a) with $r_1 = 1$ is a part of [Ko, Theorem 8.57].

The Betti numbers of G_2 -manifolds given by Theorem 5.6 are readily computed from the classification of Fano threefolds in [Is, MM1] (or [IP, Chapter 12]) and [MM2] and the classification of $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution in [Ni1] or [AN]. These Betti numbers satisfy $0 \leq b^2(M) \leq 18$ and $61 \leq b^3(M) \leq 239$. The values of $b^2(M)$ are *even*, as all the values of $r - a$ realized by the invariant lattices $L(r, a, \delta)$ are even. The values of $b^3(M)$ are *odd* as also both $b^3(V) = 2h^{1,2}(V)$ and $-K_V^3$ are even for each Fano threefold V . This parity of the Betti numbers of M is a consequence of the particular way to achieve a matching of the $K3$ divisors chosen in Theorem 5.3(a) and does not hold in general for irreducible G_2 -manifolds obtainable by Theorem 2.4. We give examples with other parities of $b^2(M), b^3(M)$ in §6.

The G_2 -manifolds with $b^2 = 0$ given by Theorem 5.6 are precisely those coming from clause (a). The values of b^3 for these examples are

$$67, \dots, 147, 151 \dots, 189, 195, 197, 239, \quad (5.5)$$

altogether 64 values. Here and below the dots denote either consecutive odd integers or consecutive even integers, according to the context. Many, but not all of (5.5) are obtainable from [Ko, Theorem 8.57]; neither overlap with [Jo1] which only has one example with $b^2 = 0$ (it has $b^3 = 215$).

The G_2 -manifolds obtainable via clause (b) have $b^2 = 2, 4, \dots, 14$ and those via clause (c) have $b^2 = 4, 6, \dots, 18$. We list all such pairs (b^2, b^3) in Table 1. Some of these pairs also occur in G_2 -manifolds constructed by

TABLE 1. Betti numbers of G_2 -manifolds from Theorem 5.6

b^2	number of pairs (b^2, b^3)	values of b^3
2	44	61, ..., 131, 145, 149, 153, 157, 161, 165, 169, 173
4	44	63, ..., 133, 147, 151, 155, 159, 163, 167, 171, 175
6	35	65, ..., 123, 149, 153, 157, 161, 165
8	32	67, ..., 121, 151, 155, 159, 163
10	32	69, ..., 123, 153, 157, 161, 165
12	32	71, ..., 125, 155, 159, 163, 167
14	14	73, 75, 77, 81, 85, ..., 93, 97, 101, 105, 115, 157
16	4	91, 95, 99, 103
18	1	93

Joyce in [Jo1], but most of the latter (239 out of 252) satisfy an additional relation $b^2 + b^3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Theorem 5.6 gives many new topological types which do not satisfy that relation between Betti numbers.

Altogether we obtained 8094 pairs $(\overline{W}, D)$ of threefolds with matching $K3$ divisors and 302 distinct pairs of values of Betti numbers (b^2, b^3) realized by the G_2 -manifolds from Theorem 5.6. Of these, 256 pairs (b^2, b^3) are different from those of G_2 -manifolds in [Jo2, Fig. 11.1] (note that the latter Figure includes 5 examples from [Ko], also obtainable by Theorems 5.6(a) or 5.3).

6. FURTHER EXAMPLES OF COMPACT G_2 -MANIFOLDS

In this section, we give three more families of examples of G_2 -manifolds, realizing 77 new values of (b^2, b^3) , obtained by application of Theorem 5.3, but not coming from Theorem 5.6.

6.1. From mirror pairs of K3 surfaces to compact G_2 -manifolds.

We shall assume $r + a \neq 22$ and $(r, a, \delta) \neq (14, 6, 0)$ and let $L_1 = L(r, a, \delta)$, $L_2 = L(20 - r, a, \delta)$ throughout this subsection. There are 36 such pairs of lattices. These pairs of invariant lattices are called *mirror pairs* and were successfully exploited independently by C. Voisin [Vo] and C. Borcea [Bo] in the construction of mirror pairs of Calabi–Yau threefolds. Mirror families of K3 surfaces were also studied by Dolgachev [Do2].

Borcea and Voisin in particular noticed that there exists an embedding of L_1, L_2 into the K3 lattice L such that $L_j^\perp = L_{3-j} \oplus H$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus $L_1 \oplus L_2$ can be embedded in $2(-E_8) \oplus 2H$ which is the condition (a) in Theorem 5.3. Therefore, a compact irreducible G_2 -manifold M can be constructed from the respective pair of threefolds $\overline{W}_j$ of non-symplectic types (r, a, δ) and $(20 - r, a, \delta)$ and (5.4) applies to give

$$b^2(M) = 24 - 2a, \quad b^3(M) = 95 - 6a.$$

In this way, we obtain 11 distinct pairs of Betti numbers (b^2, b^3) of G_2 -manifolds:

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 35), (6, 41), (8, 47), (10, 53), (12, 59), (14, 65), \\ (16, 71), (18, 77), (20, 83), (22, 89), (24, 95), \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

satisfying a linear relation

$$b^3 = 3b^2 + 23.$$

which implies $b^2 + b^3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ satisfied by many G_2 -manifolds in [Jo2]. The last three examples in (6.1) do not appear in the list [Jo2, Fig. 11.1] and, to our knowledge, are new.

6.2. Using the threefold from Example 2.7. Let $\overline{W}_1 = \overline{W}$ be the obtained by a consecutive blow-up of four plane quartic curves c_i in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ as defined in Example 2.7. For each blow-up the exceptional divisor E is a fibre bundle over a curve of genus 3 with fibre $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Applying standard theory (e.g. [GH, p. 604–605]) we find that each blow-up increases b^2 by 1 and b^3 by $b^3(E) = 2g(c_i) = 6$ (cf. [Ko, p. 154]), so $b^2(\overline{W}) = 4$ and $b^3(\overline{W}) = 24$. Recall that $d(\overline{W}) = 3$, so the sublattice L_1 of the K3 lattice corresponding to the embedding of an anticanonical K3 divisor in $\overline{W}$ has rank $r_1 = 1$.

As we noted earlier, the proof of Theorem 5.3 depends only on the properties of the induced sublattices of the K3 lattice and since in the present case $r_1 \leq 10$ the result of Theorem 5.6(a)(b) extends as follows.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\overline{W}_2$ be a compact threefold of Fano type or non-symplectic type and L_2 the corresponding sublattice of the K3 lattice with $r_2 = \text{rk } L_2$ as defined in §5. If $\overline{W}_2$ is of Fano type V_2 with $b^2(V_2) < 9$ or*

$\overline{W}_2$ is of non-symplectic type (r_2, a_2, δ_2) with $r_2 + a_2 < 18$, then a compact irreducible G_2 -manifold M can be constructed from $\overline{W}_2$ and $\overline{W}$ with

$$b^2(M) = \begin{cases} 3, \\ 5 + r_2 - a_2, \end{cases} \quad b^3(M) = \begin{cases} b^3(V_2) + (-K_{V_2}^3) + 52, \\ 96 - r_2 - 3a_2, \end{cases}$$

where the top row corresponds to $\overline{W}_2$ of Fano type and the bottom row to non-symplectic type.

Remark. It is well-known that every Fano threefold V_2 with $b^2(V_2) \geq 6$ is biholomorphic to the product of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and del Pezzo surface of degree $11 - b^2(V_2)$ (e.g. [IP]).

As in Theorem 5.6 before, we have $n = 0$ and d_2 even. Since in the present case $d(\overline{W})$ is odd, the resulting G_2 -manifolds have odd $b^2(M)$ and even b^3 . The Betti numbers of the G_2 -manifolds obtainable from Proposition 6.1 are:

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 = 3, \quad b^3 &= 70, \dots, 108, 114, 116, 158, \\ b^2 = 5, \quad b^3 &= 64, 68, 72, 76, 80, 84, 88, 92, \\ b^2 = 7, \quad b^3 &= 66, 70, 74, 78, 82, 86, 90, 94, \\ b^2 = 9, \quad b^3 &= 68, 72, 76, 80, 84, \\ b^2 = 11, \quad b^3 &= 70, 74, 78, 82, \\ b^2 = 13, \quad b^3 &= 72, 76, 80, 84, \\ b^2 = 15, \quad b^3 &= 74, 78, 82, 86, \\ b^2 = 17, \quad b^3 &= 76 \end{aligned}$$

There are 57 pairs, 10 of these overlap with the pairs (b^2, b^3) given in [Jo2, Fig. 11.1]. Curiously, the overlaps occur only when $\overline{W}_2$ is of Fano type V_2 with $b^3(V_2) - K_{V_2}^3$ a multiple of 4.

6.3. Examples with large $\text{rk } L_1$ and $\text{rk } L_2 = 1$. Finally, here are some new examples of G_2 -manifolds with ‘larger’ values of b^2 . Note that $L(18, 0, 0) \simeq 2(-E_8) \oplus H$, and $L(17, 1, 1) \simeq 2(-E_8) \oplus \mathbf{1}(2)$, where $\mathbf{1}(2)$ is $\mathbb{Z} \cdot e$ with $e \cdot e = 2$. Thus $L(18, 0, 0)$ and $L(17, 1, 1)$ can be primitively embedded in $2(-E_8) \oplus H$ and the direct sum of either of these and an even lattice L_2 of rank one can be primitively embedded in $2(-E_8) \oplus 2H$. There are 17 appropriate choices of $\overline{W}_2$ of Fano type arising from 17 algebraic families of Fano threefolds V with $b^2(V) = 1$ and one of non-symplectic type corresponding to the invariant lattice $L(1, 1, 1)$ so that Theorem 5.3(a) applies. In addition, we can use the threefold defined in Example 2.7. This gives 38 instances of generalized connected sum, realizing, by calculation similar to that in Theorem 5.6(b)(c) and Proposition 6.1, simply-connected

G_2 -manifolds M with, respectively,

$$b^2(M) = \begin{cases} 2 + r_1 - a_1, \\ 4 + r_1 - a_1, \\ 5 + r_1 - a_1, \end{cases} \quad b^3(M) = \begin{cases} b^3(V_2) - K_{V_2}^3 - r_1 - 3a_1 + 71, \\ 111 - r_1 - 3a_1, \\ 96 - r_1 - 3a_1. \end{cases}$$

Here (r_1, a_1) is either $(18, 0)$ or $(17, 1)$. We obtain 28 distinct pairs of Betti numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 = 18, b^3 = 73, 75, 77, 81, 85, 87, 91, 97, 101, 105, 115, 157, \\ b^2 = 20, b^3 = 75, 77, 79, 83, 87, 89, 91, 93, 99, 103, 107, 117, 159, \quad (6.2) \\ (b^2, b^3) = (21, 76), (22, 93) \text{ and } (23, 78). \end{aligned}$$

For each value of b^2 in the above list, the respective values of b^3 , other than $(b^2, b^3) = (18, 77)$, are larger than any of those appearing in [Jo2, Fig. 11.1]. Thus, to our knowledge, all of the examples of G_2 -manifolds (6.2), except possibly one, are new.

REFERENCES

- [AN] V. Alexeev and V.V. Nikulin. *Del Pezzo and K3 surfaces*. MSJ Memoirs, 15. Mathematical Society of Japan, 2006.
- [BHPV] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters and A. van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [Bo] C. Borcea. *K3 surfaces with involution and mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds*. Mirror symmetry, II, pages 717–743. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 1. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [CHP] A. Corti, M. Haskins and T. Pacini. *G_2 manifolds and associative submanifolds via weak Fano 3-folds*, in preparation, 2009.
- [Do1] I. Dolgachev. *Integral quadratic forms: applications to algebraic geometry (after V. Nikulin)*. Bourbaki seminar, Vol. 1982/83, 251–278, Astérisque, 105-106, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.
- [Do2] I.V. Dolgachev. *Mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces*. Algebraic geometry, 4. J. Math. Sci. **81** (1996), 2599–2630.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, 1978.
- [Is] V.A. Iskovskih. *Fano threefolds. I. II*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **41** (1977), 516–562, 717, and **42** (1978), 506–549. English translation: Math. USSR Izvestia **11** (1977), 485–527, and **12** (1978) 469–506.
- [IP] V.A. Iskovskih and Yu.G. Prokhorov. *Fano varieties*. Algebraic geometry, V. Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, vol.47 (Springer, 1999).
- [Jo1] D. Joyce. *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [Jo2] D. Joyce. *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- [Ko] A. Kovalev. *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160.
- [KN] A. Kovalev and J. Nordström. *Asymptotically cylindrical 7-manifolds of holonomy G_2 with applications to compact irreducible G_2 -manifolds*. Preprint arXiv:0907.0497 version 3, August 2009.
- [MH] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller. *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.

- [MM1] S. Mori and S. Mukai. *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* . Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/1982), 147–162.
- [MM2] S. Mori and S. Mukai. *Erratum: “Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$ ”*. Manuscripta Math. **110** (2003), 407.
- [Ni1] V.V. Nikulin. *Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian K3 surfaces*. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **2** (1980), 71–135.
- [Ni2] V.V. Nikulin. *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 111–177, 238. English translation: Math. USSR Izvestia **14** (1980), 103–167.
- [Ni3] V.V. Nikulin. *Quotient-groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms by subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebro-geometric applications*. J. Soviet Math. **22** (1983), 1401–1476.
- [Sa] S. Salamon. *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*. Pitman Res. Notes in Math. 201. Longman, Harlow, 1989.
- [Vo] C. Voisin. *Miroirs et involutions sur les surfaces K3*. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992). Astérisque No. 218 (1993), 273–323.

DPMMS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, ENGLAND
E-mail address: a.kovalev@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, HONGIK UNIVERSITY 42-1, SANGSU-
 DONG, MAPO-GU, SEOUL 121-791, KOREA
E-mail address: nhlee@hongik.ac.kr